

Feed the Future Innovation Lab for the Reduction of Post-Harvest Loss



PHLIL Progress in Ethiopia: 2014-2016



Bhadriraju Subramanyam (Subi) PHLIL Annual Meeting, May 11-12, 2016 Holiday Inn, Manhattan, KS







US Team





Dr. Bhadriraju Subramanyam PI, KSU Stored-product entomologist





Dr. John Leslie KSU-Interim Director Mycologist

Dr. Rizana Mahroof Co-PI, SCSU Stored-product entomologist



IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

US Team





Dr. Shannon Washburn KSU Extension/engagement



Dr. Brian Lindshield KSU

Nutritionist



Dr. Sajid Alavi KSU Drying/grain processing

 KANSAS
 STATE
 IGP Institute

 U
 N
 I
 V
 E
 R
 S
 I
 T
 Y

In-country collaborators and potential partners

- Mekelle University(host), Bahir Dar University, Hawassa University in Ethiopia
- Regional Agricultural Bureaus (Amhara, Tigray, Oromia, SNNP)
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ethiopian Institute for Agricultural Research
- Agricultural Transformation Agency, Ethiopia
- Hiwot Agricultural Mechanization, Ethiopia
- Grain Pro, Inc.
- Sesame Business Network, Ethiopia
- International Seed Sector Development, Ethiopia
- ACDI-VOCA, Ethiopia
- Farmers, farmer cooperatives, small businesses and USAID mission
- Sasakawa Global 2000
- Africa Rising





Postharvest Loss Estimates

Сгор	% Weight loss	Reference	
Maize with LGB	2.6	Kidane and Habteyes (1989)	
Sorghum, threshed	11.0	Kidane and Habteyes (1989)	
	15.4	Kidane and Habteyes (1990)	
Wheat	2.1	Kidane and Habteyes (1989)	
Barley	2.5	Kidane and Habteyes (1989)	
Teff	0.3	Kidane and Habteyes (1989)	

Maize 30-100% (Dimisse et al. 2011)



Underground pit storage



FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

Site Visits













IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

Infested sorghum taken from underground pit storage



FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

MANSAS VIALE Y UN VERSIT

Department of Grain Science and Industry

Lakota- Storage bags made from goat skin

30 8:56AM

30 8:57AM



Gota: indoor grain storage



1

Fodo: indoor grain storage

Storage wastes in wheat warehouses





Merkab warehouse







KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Department of Grain Science and maasary

Ethiopian Seed Exchange warehouse





2014 Plans

- Network with university and other stakeholders
- Assess capability of universities for conducting PHLIL projects
- Gather information on farmers' perception of postharvest losses
 - 13 page survey
 - 65 questions



Postharvest loss assessment survey information

- Crop-specific: Maize, wheat, chickpea, and sesame
- Survey of farmers' perception about PHL
- Information gathered
 - Demography
 - Inputs used in production
 - Causes of grain losses
 - Different postharvest techniques practiced
 - Storage structure and time
 - Methods used to control losses
 - Transportation and marketing
 - Family nutrition
 - Pesticides and environmental safety
 - Role of gender
 - Training and educational needs



Crop surveys to gauge farmers perceptions of PHLIL









KANSAS S





Number of farmers surveyed by region

Region	Wheat	Maize	Chickpea	Sesame
Tigray	30	51	50	90
Amhara	66	85	60	80
Ooromiya	80	70	60	30
SNNPR	15	74	50	
Total	191	280	220	200



Cross-cutting issues

Gender

- Address role of gender in PHL reduction
- Develop Women Empowerment Agricultural Index and gender dynamics
- Specific training in gender analysis, tools and methods
- Integrate gender-sensitive participatory approaches into all stages of the project cycle

Nutrition

- Measure reduction in PHL on nutritional security
- Assess impact of PHL reduction strategies on the nutritional status of families

Environment

KANSAS STAT

- Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Program (EMMP)
- Mitigation measures to be followed by project personnel to minimize potential adverse impacts to humans and environments





IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry





Farmer perceptions: Maize survey

Prevalence and severity of storage insect pests

Description	Farmer's response	No. responding (%)	
Prevalence	Prevalent	169 (93.9)	
	Not prevalent	11 (6.1)	
Severity	Not severe	28 (10.0)	
	Moderately severe	70 (25.0)	
	Severe	169 (60.4)	
	Not able to judge	13 (4.6)	



Farmer perceptions: Maize survey

Prevalence and severity of molds in storage

Description	Farmer's response	No. responding (%)	
Prevalence	Prevalent	197 (70.4)	
	Not prevalent	83 (29.6)	
Severity	Not severe	62 (22.1)	
	Moderately severe	47 (16.8)	
	Severe	87 (31.1)	
	Not able to judge	84 (30.0)	



Maize: Some key findings

- Only 26% of 280 farmers indicated ever receiving any postharvest loss prevention training
- More than 80% of surveyed farmers expressed a need for training in harvesting, packing, transportation, drying, cleaning, moisture measurement, insect, mold, and vertebrate pest management, proper storage, use and safe handling of pesticides, and marketing of grain
- 82% (*n* = 279) farmers measure moisture mostly by biting with their teeth (91%, *n* = 265)
- 20 and 65% of farmers use malathion and pirimiphos-methyl to protect grain in storage from insects (n = 275)
- Maize is stored in traditional gotera (68% of farmers, n = 276), and it is stored for 7-12 months

Wheat







Wheat survey: Key findings

- Farmers store wheat in traditional storages, fertilizer bags, jute bags, polypropylene bags, and warehouses
- Wheat is stored for 3-12 months
- Farmers inspect grain in storage visually and by smell



Traditional gotera



Causes of postharvest losses



Causes of wheat losses

IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

KANSAS STATE

R

V

U

Control methods used in storage





Estimated postharvest losses in wheat

Harvest and postharvest stage	Wheat losses (%)*	n	Calculated estimates under two scenarios**	
			No rain at harvest	Rain at harvest
Harvesting	6.8	183	6.8	16.3
Threshing	3.5	178	3.5	3.5
Cleaning	2.1	175		
Packaging/bagging	0.2	168		
Transportation (farm to storage)	1.1	165	1.2	1.2
Farm Storage	2.7	180	2.7	2.7
Transportation (storage to market)	0.2	165	1	1
Market storage	0.1	166	2.7	2.7
Milling/Crushing/Grinding	0.4	172	-	-
Total	16.1		17.9	24.6

*Calculated by SPSS; **Calculated by APHLIS calculator.



Criteria used to select wheat kernels for consumption





Roles of gender in farming/marketing



IGP Institute
 Department of Grain Science and Industry

KANSAS STAT

Sources of information for farmers

- Primary sources:
 - Through large meetings
 - Fellow farmers
 - Radio programs
 - One-on-one delivery
 - Demonstration trials
 - Most of the training was on seed production
 - Farmers interested in training on moisture measurement, harvesting, drying, pest identification and control, safe and proper use of pesticides








Chickpea





 Pod-dropping from plants to ground <u>at harvest</u> was cited as the major cause of loss by 75% of the respondents (*n* = 219)









Losses during threshing and cleaning

- Threshing is done by animals (oxen, horses, or donkeys) [75.5% of 174 respondents] or by stick (3.6%)
- Grain loss occurs during threshing (45% of 134 respondents]. Some loss due to consumption by trampling animals. Loss is assumed to be 18.6 kg (n = 134)
- Losses occur during cleaning (winnowing) as reported by 53.2% of 138 respondents. Total loss 14.4 kg



Losses during transportation

- Losses during transportation were 3.4 kg (n = 172)
- Storage losses reported by 91 farmers were 29.4 kg
- Only 18.2% of 220 farmers reported receiving any training on postharvest loss issues



Farmers' training needs

No	Area of training pood	Responding farmers		
INO	Area of training need	Number	Percent	
1	Harvesting	148	67.3	
2	Threshing	73	33.2	
3	Packing	72	32.7	
4	Transport	46	20.9	
5	Drying	76	34.5	
6	Cleaning	107	48.6	
7	Insect Identification	179	81.4	
8	Mold identification	78	35.5	
9	Pesticide usage	197	89.5	
10	Pesticide handling	179	81.4	
11	Proper storage	148	67.3	
12	Rodent and other animal control	111	50.5	
13	Bird control	55	25.0	
14	Marketing	116	52.7	







IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

Sesame: Losses at and after harvest



- Harvesting
- Drying
- Threshing
- Dehulling
- Cleaning
- Packaging
- Transport to storage
- Storage
- Transport to market
- Marketing
- Milling





Sesame storage loss prevention methods

No Yes Number of respondents



KANSAS STATE **IGP** Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

UN

Duration of sesame storage





Extension engagement-2015

- Established Extension Advisory Team (EAT)-10-12 members
- First engagement advisory meeting happened in July 2015
- Key concepts identified
 - Harvesting, threshing and shelling are key areas need curriculum and training
 - Drying and mold reduction
 - Storage management
 - Storage insect management
- Target audience: smallholder farmers, development agents, extension personnel
- Secondary audience: storage managers, private sector folks, and input suppliers
- Plans
 - Develop training curriculum
 - Train under leadership of EAT











Capacity building-2015

- Set up two mycotoxin laboratories
 - ✓ At Mekelle University, Tigray and Bahir Dar University, Amhara
- Provided tools for insect sampling
- Provided probes for grain moisture measurement
- Installed the Grain Pro bubble dryers and cabinet dryers
- Shared books, research articles and literature related to PHL
- Zenzelma campus at Bahir Dar university has a dedicated stored-products research lab
- Recruited three graduate students from each Universities
 - ✓ Start date May 2015
 - $\checkmark\,$ Research is being conducted in Ethiopia



2015 Plans

- Review postharvest loss reports (submitted May 2015) for data accuracy (on-going effort)
- Select 30 maize, wheat, chickpea, or sesame farmers to collect samples
 - BDU: Maize and wheat
 - MU: Chickpea and sesame
 - Analyze for insects and mycotoxins
 - Trap insects in grain
- Collect samples from 10 warehouses/traders
 - Analyze for insects and mycotoxins
 - Trap insects in warehouses/traders

Role of gender in PHL mitigation



Evaluate storage technologies-2015

- With all 4 commodities-10 kg/storage structure
- PICS bags
- GrainPro Supergrain bags
- Polypropylene bags
- Jute bags
- Metal drums
- Plastic drums
- Treat grain in polypropylene/jute bags with
 Filter cake (1% by wt)
 - Triplex (0.2% by wt)

Evaluate insect control and mycotoxin reduction

Traps



Sticky traps





Probe traps





IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

KANSAS STATE

Insect survey of farmers' grain stores: 2015 research



A majority of maize samples were collected from 'Gota'

All of wheat samples were collected from polypropylene bags



Department of Grain Science and Industry

Insecticide applications made by farmers to their stored grain: 2015 research



None of the wheat samples was treated with insecticides



Insect prevalence on maize and wheat grain samples from farmers' stores in west Gojjam Numer of Samples with at Least One 35 Maize **Wheat** 30 Insect 25 20 15 10 5 0 Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep **Sampling Month**



Intensity of maize weevil (*Sitophiluls zeamais*) and Angoumois grain moth (*Sitotroga cereallela*) in maize and wheat farmers' stores



Total aflatoxins: Maize 2015

Month of	N <u>o</u> of samples	Positive samples	Samples	Observed detection range (ppb)	
Sampling	analyzed	(%)	<u>></u> 20 ppb (%) —	Min	Max
March	30	90	3.3	2.05	29.34
April	30	100	6.6	6.25	22.06
May	30	100	26.7	8.5	26.19
June	30	100	23.3	6.97	23.19
July	30	100	0.0	2.03	7.7
August	30	100	0.0	4.67	12.68
Total	180	98	10.0	2.03	29.34



Bruchids in farmer's chickpeas





Evaluation of different storage technologies



KANSAS STATE | IGP Institute UNIVERSITY | Department of Grain Science and Industry

Project managers from KSU visiting the PHL lab at Mekelle University



Evaluation of different storage technologies

Number of insects per kg of maize after different periods of storage



Hermetic bags suppressed insect population growth, while higher live insect populations were record in other containers Lower insect mortality in metal bin and jute bag, ease of access to oxygen

ANSAS STA

Drying research



Solar cabinet dryer



2 PHL workshops April (100) & May (2000) 2016.

Solar bubble dryer



Sun drying



IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

2016 Plans

- Workshop on reducing postharvest losses in Ethiopia, February 25, 2016, Harmony Hotel, Addis Ababa
- 13 Presentations
 - University researchers: 20
 - EIAR/MOA: 10
 - Private businesses: 7
 - NGOs: 6
 - USAID: 1
 - Males 35; Females 9







IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry

2016 Plans

- Publish 2015 results
- Repeat 2015 experiments
 - 180 farmers in 4 regions
 - 30 farmers follow up over time
- Storage technologies: 50 kg/storage structure
- Provide PICS and GrainPro Superbags to farmers and evaluate effectiveness in farmer-managed trials
- MOA will support training proposal
- Training of development agents
- Role of gender in PHL mitigation











IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry





IGP Institute Department of Grain Science and Industry
Personal observations

- Most universities focus on teaching, with limited research
- Laboratories are ill-equipped to do research
- PHLIL progress the first two years was slower than expected
- In-country researches look at PHLIL support as a project and not as a program
- Momentum is picking up and there is renewed interest in addressing postharvest issues
- Need to bring more awareness about PHLIL projects and their benefits





Thank You



