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## History

This report was first compiled and written by the Faculty Affairs Subcommittee on Faculty Salaries in October of 1972. The report used only the salary and compensation data available from The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) Bulletin, 1971 and 1972. Through the years, the subcommittee and various task forces have reviewed the report, added more comprehensive data, and made changes to the tables and comparison groups. For example, the Consumer Price Index (CPI) data was added in 1980, which explains the use of the 1969-1970 year as the baseline for purchasing power comparisons. The Oklahoma State Salary Study, used for Table 1, was first published in 1974. K-State has submitted data every year since the study began.

## Changes and Considerations for FY 2018

1. Data reported are from K-State's Human Resources Information System (HRIS) on November 1, 2017. In addition to a $2.5 \%$ cost-of-living increase at the beginning of FY 2018, changes in salaries are due to the Professorial Performance Award ${ }^{1}$, Targeted Faculty Salary Enhancements ${ }^{2}$, equity increases, and raises due to promotions.
2. It is important to understand the differences in which faculty members are included in the Oklahoma State University Faculty Salary Study compared to those included in the AAUP data. Full explanations of these comparisons are included in the Explanation of Tables on page three.
3. In this report we compare average salaries at the university level. It is important to know that there is considerable variation between departments, with some closer to or exceeding peer averages. For a comparison at the disciplinary level among the land grant institutions, please refer to http://www.k-state.edu/pa/faculty/salary/facsalcomp/.
4. It should be noted that the groups of peers, as well as Big 12 institutions have changed over the past few years.
[^0]
## Summary of Findings

## Comparisons with APLU Members Using Data from the Oklahoma State Salary Study:

- Total average salary for K-State increased for all ranks by \$3,128 above the FY 2017 average salary.
- The average salary of K-State's faculty was $14.2 \%$ below other APLU institutions compared with 14.9\% in FY 2017.
- The K-State salary deficit increased for full professors and decreased for associate professors and assistant professors.


## Comparisons Using Data from AAUP:

- Comparisons with Land-grant Institutions (46 of the original 50 land-grant institutions reporting):

0 K-State's salaries ranked $38^{\text {th }}$ (up from $42^{\text {th }}$ in FY 2017).
o K-State's total compensation ranked $42^{\text {nd }}$ (up from $44^{\text {th }}$ in FY 2017).

- Comparisons with Big Twelve Institutions:

0 The average K-State faculty salary ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ of the ten universities reporting in the Big Twelve.
o The average K-State salary was $9.7 \%$ below the average of the Big Twelve universities (compared to $12.2 \%$ in FY 2017).

- Comparisons with Peer Institutions
o Compared to ten peer institutions, K-State's average salary ranked second from the lowest.
o The average overall salary of K-State faculty was $10.0 \%$ below that of the average for peer institutions compared with 11.6\% in FY 2017.
- Constant Dollars (Including Instructors)
o FY 2018 salaries increased by 4.7\% over FY 2017.
o There is a $5.3 \%$ increase in purchasing power for FY 2018 salaries compared to FY 1970.


## Explanation of Tables

## Comparisons with Association of Public and Land-grant Universities Using Data from the Oklahoma State Faculty Salary Study

Table 1 shows K-State faculty salaries compared to the average faculty salaries of member institutions of the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU). The data are compiled using the Oklahoma State University Salary Study, showing faculty salaries at participating universities as of November 1, 2017. Faculty members included in the comparison are those assigned to an academic department with an associated Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) code. Examples of the departments excluded would be Leadership Studies, KState Libraries, and Extension.

Average institutional salaries at the APLU institutions were calculated using two methods. The all ranks method simply averages all salaries across all ranks, regardless of the distribution of faculty in each rank. Alternately, calculating average salary using the rank adjusted method provides an estimate of what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if the distribution of faculty by each rank were identical to that of K-State. This hypothetical average salary is calculated by multiplying the proportion of K-State’s faculty at a particular rank by the comparison school's average salary at the same rank, and adding these values for all ranks. Although these hypothetical compositions of faculty do not actually exist, the rank adjusted average salary provides a valuable measure of comparison by leveling the distribution of faculty among comparison institutions.

It is important to mention that the rank adjusted average salary for the comparison groups is consistently lower than the corresponding all ranks average. This is because K-State has fewer faculty members in the highest rank and more faculty members in the lower ranks when compared to the APLU institutions.

## Comparisons Using Data from the American Association of University Professors

For Tables 2-4, the data were retrieved from The Annual Report on the Economic Status of the Profession, 2017-2018 from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2018, published by the American Association of University Professors (AAUP). Salary data was based on November 1, 2017 data from each participating institution. Only faculty who have $50 \%$ or more instructional appointments were included in this report. Faculty with $50 \%$ each to instructional and research are counted as instructional faculty, and are therefore included with this data. Examples of those excluded would be Extension faculty, research assistant and research associate professors, and library faculty, along with any other faculty who have $51 \%$ or more of their time allocated to research, extension, or a combination of the two.

## 50 Land-Grant Institutions

Since K-State is a land-grant institution, it is appropriate to compare faculty salaries to institutions with a similar mission and type of faculty (instruction, research, and service). Each state has a university that was formed under the Morrill Act and receives federal and state funds for research and cooperative extension. Table 2 provides a list of universities and their data submitted to AAUP for the current report.

## Big Twelve Universities

Because K-State is a member of the Big 12 Athletic Conference, it is appropriate to compare K-State to other conference members. This is shown in Table 3.

## Peer Institutions

In the fall of 2013, the Kansas Board of Regents asked the K-State administration to review the list of peers and determine which would be the best ten universities to use for comparison purposes. K-State salaries compared with the salaries of these ten universities are found in Table 4.

## Constant Dollars

Table 5 is included to show K-State salaries in terms of constant dollars over the past 46 years. The constant dollar value is generated using the fiscal average of the monthly Consumer Price Index (CPI). The Current Dollar is the average K-State salary, and the Constant Dollar Salary shows the purchasing power of the current salary compared to the Constant Dollar Salary in 1969-1970. Even though instructors are not included in any of the other tables due to a reevaluation of the data in FY 2013, they are included in Table 5 to maintain the historical value of the data.

Table 1
Faculty Salaries at K-State Compared to APLU Institutions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Fiscal Year | Institution | Professor |  | Associate |  | Assistant |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { All Ranks } \\ \text { Average } \end{array} \\ \hline \$^{\mathbf{b}} \end{gathered}$ | APLURank AdjustedAvg. Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rank } \\ \text { Adjusted } \\ \hline \text { \% dfct }{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% dftt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% dftt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% dfct ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| All University (Including Veterinary Medicine) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | K-State | \$98,517 | 16.6\% | \$73,730 | 8.6\% | \$61,505 | 13.9\% | \$79,685 |  | 13.8\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$114,915 |  | \$80,062 |  | \$70,031 |  | \$92,600 | \$90,645 |  |
| 2010 | K-State | \$99,858 | 16.7\% | \$72,693 | 11.1\% | \$61,421 | 15.7\% | \$80,350 |  | 14.9\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$116,553 |  | \$80,738 |  | \$71,060 |  | \$93,984 | \$92,354 |  |
| 2011 | K-State | \$100,125 | 16.6\% | \$72,115 | 12.0\% | \$61,210 | 18.1\% | \$80,055 |  | 15.6\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$116,736 |  | \$80,786 |  | \$72,317 |  | \$94,574 | \$92,557 |  |
| 2012 | K-State | \$104,383 | 14.5\% | \$73,669 | 11.9\% | \$64,421 | 14.6\% | \$82,751 |  | 13.8\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$119,497 |  | \$82,455 |  | \$73,853 |  | \$96,617 | \$94,138 |  |
| 2013 | K-State | \$104,610 | 17.9\% | \$74,592 | 13.3\% | \$63,706 | 19.1\% | \$82,233 |  | 16.9\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$123,346 |  | \$84,483 |  | \$75,868 |  | \$99,239 | \$96,112 |  |
| 2014 | K-State | \$105,633 | 18.6\% | \$76,360 | 12.7\% | \$65,220 | 18.1\% | \$83,685 |  | 16.7\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$125,288 |  | \$86,083 |  | \$77,053 |  | \$100,562 | \$97,670 |  |
| 2015 | K-State | \$113,221 | 14.5\% | \$80,472 | 10.5\% | \$71,076 | 11.4\% | \$90,268 |  | 12.6\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$129,616 |  | \$88,902 |  | \$79,186 |  | \$103,552 | \$101,656 |  |
| 2016 | K-State | \$113,379 | 16.3\% | \$82,483 | 9.7\% | \$73,166 | 10.2\% | \$91,037 |  | 12.9\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$131,913 |  | \$90,501 |  | \$80,659 |  | \$105,251 | \$102,799 |  |
| 2017 | K-State | \$113,453 | 18.9\% | \$83,144 | 11.9\% | \$74,401 | 11.3\% | \$91,459 |  | 14.9\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$134,900 |  | \$93,042 |  | \$82,821 |  | \$107,533 | \$105,130 |  |
| 2018 | K-State | \$116,060 | 20.0\% | \$87,113 | 9.8\% | \$77,649 | 9.1\% | \$94,587 |  | 14.2\% |
|  | APLU ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$139,311 |  | \$95,636 |  | \$84,748 |  | \$110,707 | \$107,996 |  |

${ }^{a}$ This table contains a comparison of K-State faculty salaries with salaries at other members of the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU) by rank, all ranks, and rank adjusted.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All salaries are converted to nine-month equivalents.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Refers to the percent K-State salaries are below the average salaries of APLU institutions.
d"APLU" refers to the average at the APLU member institutions, excluding K-State salaries.
Source: 2017-2018 Faculty Salary Survey by Discipline. Office of Institutional Research, Oklahoma State University.

Table 2

## Average Instructional Faculty Salary and Compensation Comparisons 50 Land Grant Institutions ${ }^{\text {d }}$ FY 2018

| Rank | University ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Salary | \% dfct ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Rank | Compensation ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \% dfct ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CORNELL - NY | \$151,624 | -29.2\% | 1 | \$197,365 | -29.6\% |
| 2 | CALIFORNIA - DAVIS | \$139,222 | -22.9\% | 2 | \$189,671 | -26.7\% |
| 3 | MARYLAND-College Park | \$131,497 | -18.4\% | 5 | \$161,805 | -14.1\% |
| 4 | RUTGERS NJ | \$127,882 | -16.1\% | 4 | \$166,167 | -16.3\% |
| 5 | PENN STATE | \$125,220 | -14.3\% | 7 | \$158,659 | -12.4\% |
| 6 | ILLINOIS-URBANA | \$122,845 | -12.6\% | 8 | \$158,378 | -12.2\% |
| 7 | MASSACHUSETTS-AMHERST | \$122,317 | -12.2\% | 3 | \$167,810 | -17.1\% |
| 8 | DELAWARE | \$121,273 | -11.5\% | 6 | \$160,946 | -13.6\% |
| 9 | TEXAS A\&M | \$120,720 | -11.1\% | 18 | \$144,687 | -3.9\% |
| 10 | FLORIDA-GAINESVILLE | \$119,259 | -10.0\% | 12 | \$150,359 | -7.5\% |
| 11 | OHIO STATE-COLUMBUS | \$118,882 | -9.7\% | 13 | \$149,784 | -7.2\% |
| 12 | CONNECTICUT-STORRS | \$118,855 | -9.7\% | 10 | \$152,023 | -8.5\% |
| 13 | WISCONSIN-MADISON | \$118,479 | -9.4\% | 14 | \$149,460 | -7.0\% |
| 14 | MINNESOTA-TWIN CITIES | \$116,767 | -8.1\% | 9 | \$155,163 | -10.4\% |
| 15 | PURDUE-WEST LAFAYETTE, IN | \$115,846 | -7.3\% | 16 | \$146,790 | -5.3\% |
| 16 | MICHIGAN STATE | \$115,505 | -7.1\% | 11 | \$150,367 | -7.5\% |
| 17 | TENNESSEE-KNOXVILLE | \$111,870 | -4.0\% | 19 | \$143,456 | -3.1\% |
| 18 | NEW HAMPSHIRE | \$110,802 | -3.1\% | 23 | \$138,538 | 0.4\% |
| 19 | VIRGINIA TECH | \$110,278 | -2.7\% | 17 | \$145,987 | -4.8\% |
| 20 | GEORGIA | \$108,576 | -1.1\% | 20 | \$139,267 | -0.2\% |
| 21 | IOWA STATE | \$106,049 | 1.2\% | 21 | \$139,087 | 0.0\% |
| 22 | CLEMSON - SC | \$106,025 | 1.2\% | 22 | \$138,648 | 0.3\% |
| 23 | NC STATE-RALEIGH | \$105,835 | 1.4\% | 28 | \$133,768 | 3.9\% |
| 24 | ARIZONA | \$105,794 | 1.5\% | 27 | \$134,524 | 3.4\% |
| 25 | NEBRASKA-LINCOLN | \$105,123 | 2.1\% | 26 | \$134,824 | 3.1\% |
| 26 | ARKANSAS-FAYETTEVILLE | \$104,584 | 2.6\% | 30 | \$130,698 | 6.4\% |
| 27 | KENTUCKY | \$103,742 | 3.5\% | 29 | \$131,655 | 5.6\% |
| 28 | RHODE ISLAND | \$103,135 | 4.1\% | 25 | \$138,170 | 0.6\% |
| 29 | OREGON STATE | \$101,890 | 5.4\% | 15 | \$147,713 | -5.9\% |
| 30 | WASHINGTON STATE | \$101,867 | 5.4\% | 31 | \$129,456 | 7.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | COLORADO STATE | \$101,260 | 6.0\% | 33 | \$129,208 | 7.6\% |
| 32 | LOUISIANA STATE UNIV A \& M | \$100,327 | 7.0\% | 24 | \$138,412 | 0.5\% |
| 33 | AUBURN - AL | \$100,240 | 7.1\% | 34 | \$128,504 | 8.2\% |
| 34 | WYOMING | \$93,876 | 14.3\% | 32 | \$129,315 | 7.5\% |
| 35 | NEVADA-RENO | \$93,758 | 14.5\% | 40 | \$118,217 | 17.6\% |
| 36 | WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY | \$93,462 | 14.9\% | 43 | \$112,957 | 23.1\% |
| 37 | MISSOURI-COLUMBIA | \$92,218 | 16.4\% | 39 | \$118,885 | 17.0\% |
| 38 | KANSAS STATE | \$91,820 | 16.9\% | 42 | \$114,670 | 21.3\% |
| 39 | MAINE-ORONO | \$91,046 | 17.9\% | 35 | \$123,501 | 12.6\% |
| 40 | OKLAHOMA STATE | \$90,341 | 18.8\% | 37 | \$119,957 | 15.9\% |
| 41 | ALASKA-FAIRBANKS | \$89,330 | 20.2\% | 38 | \$119,530 | 16.3\% |
| 42 | UTAH STATE | \$87,528 | 22.6\% | 36 | \$122,636 | 13.4\% |
| 43 | MISSISSIPPI STATE | \$86,974 | 23.4\% | 45 | \$106,969 | 30.0\% |
| 44 | MONTANA STATE - Bozeman | \$86,930 | 23.5\% | 44 | \$110,737 | 25.6\% |
| 45 | NORTH DAKOTA STATE | \$86,567 | 24.0\% | 41 | \$116,935 | 18.9\% |
| 46 | SOUTH DAKOTA STATE | \$80,412 | 33.5\% | 46 | \$100,279 | 38.7\% |
|  | Average | \$107,345 |  |  | \$139,042 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Peer institutions are shaded.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percent deficit from the average salary (in the third column) and average compensation (in sixth column) of these institutions.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Compensation includes average salary plus benefits.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Hawaii, Idaho, New Mexico, and Vermont did not report in FY 2018.
Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2018.

## Table 3

Instructional Faculty Salaries at K-State Compared to Big Twelve Institutions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Fiscal Year | All Ranks Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | Rank Adjusted ${ }^{\text {c A Average Salary }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K-State Salary |  | Big 12 Institutions Salary |  | K-State $\text { Rank }^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | g 12 <br> 1tutions <br> lary | Increment <br> Needed to <br> Reach Average <br> Rank Adjusted |
| 2009 | \$ | 77,355 | \$ | 89,501 | 12/12 | \$ | 87,097 | 12.6\% |
| 2010 | \$ | 78,478 | \$ | 88,631 | 12/12 | \$ | 88,095 | 12.3\% |
| 2011 | \$ | 77,986 | \$ | 90,782 | 12/12 | \$ | 88,669 | 13.7\% |
| 2012 | \$ | 80,377 | \$ | 93,606 | 10/10 | \$ | 89,164 | 10.9\% |
| 2013 | \$ | 80,247 | \$ | 90,782 | 10/10 | \$ | 91,059 | 13.5\% |
| 2014 | \$ | 83,569 | \$ | 94,480 | 9/9 | \$ | 95,097 | 13.8\% |
| 2015 | \$ | 86,992 | \$ | 97,231 | 9/10 | \$ | 94,838 | 9.0\% |
| 2016 | \$ | 87,958 | \$ | 101,516 | 9/10 | \$ | 97,875 | 11.3\% |
| 2017 | \$ | 88,325 | \$ | 98,468 | 9/9 | \$ | 99,084 | 12.2\% |
| 2018 | \$ | 91,820 | \$ | 99,780 | 9/10 | \$ | 100,718 | 9.7\% |


| Institution | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of Texas | 1 | 1 | 1 | (No report) | 1 |
| Texas Christian University | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Baylor University | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Iowa State University | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| University of Kansas | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| University of Oklahoma | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| Texas Tech University | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| West Virginia University | (No report) | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 |
| Kansas State University | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Oklahoma State University | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This Table provides a comparison of average faculty salaries at K-State and the other Big Twelve schools including: Baylor, Iowa State, Oklahoma State, Texas Tech, University of Kansas - Lawrence, Texas Christian, West Virginia University, University of Oklahoma - Norman, University of Texas - Austin.
Comparisons are made between the Big Twelve composite and K-State salaries based on all ranks average salary and rank adjusted average salary (Board of Regents method of calculating average salary for comparison).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average Salary excluding fringe benefits.
${ }^{c}$ Rank Adjusted Average Salary is calculated by multiplying the overall average of Big Twelve salaries by rank times the distribution of K-State faculty by rank; these values estimate what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if their distribution by professorial rank were identical to K-State.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Rank is based on the composition of the Big 12 in each year.

Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2018.

## Table 4

## Instructional Faculty Salaries at K-State Compared to Regents Peer Institutions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Fiscal Year | All Ranks Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Rank Adjusted ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K-State Salary | Comparison Institutions Salary | K-State Rank ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Comparison Institutions Salary | K-State Increment Needed to Reach Average Rank Adjusted Salary |
| 2009 | \$ 77,355 | \$ 84,705 | 5/6 | \$ 84,705 | 9.5\% |
| 2010 | \$ 78,478 | \$ 86,929 | 6/6 | \$ 85,593 | 9.1\% |
| 2011 | \$ 77,986 | \$ 87,722 | 6/6 | \$ 85,955 | 10.2\% |
| 2012 | \$ 80,377 | \$ 87,697 | 8/8 | \$ 84,843 | 5.6\% |
| 2013 | \$ 80,247 | \$ 96,090 | 11/11 | \$ 88,606 | 10.4\% |
| 2014 | \$ 83,569 | \$ 97,922 | 11/11 | \$ 93,205 | 11.5\% |
| 2015 | \$ 86,992 | \$ 99,294 | 11/11 | \$ 96,061 | 10.4\% |
| 2016 | \$ 87,958 | \$ 103,351 | 11/11 | \$ 99,787 | 13.4\% |
| 2017 | \$ 88,325 | \$ 105,477 | 11/11 | \$ 98,588 | 11.6\% |
| 2018 | \$ 91,820 | \$ 104,622 | 10/11 | \$ 100,961 | 10.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institution | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Massachusetts-Amherst | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Iowa State University | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Clemson University | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| North Carolina State University | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Oregon State University | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 |
| Washington State University | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Colorado State University | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Louisiana State University | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Auburn University | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Kansas State University | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Oklahoma State University | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |

${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ This table provides a comparison of average faculty salaries at K-State and its peer institutions. Comparisons are made between the composite and K-State salaries based on all ranks average salary and rank adjusted average salary (KBOR method of calculating averages for comparison).
b Average salary excluding fringe benefits.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Rank Adjusted Average Salary is calculated by multiplying the overall average of peer salaries by rank times the distribution of K-State faculty by rank; these values estimate what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if their distribution by professorial rank were identical to K-State.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Auburn and Clemson were added to the comparison group in FY 2012, University of Massachusetts, Washington State, and Lousiana State were added in FY 2013.
Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2018.

Table 5
Average Instructional Faculty Salaries ${ }^{f}$ at K-State in Constant Dollars ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Fiscal <br> Year | Current Dollar |  | Consumer Price |  | Constant Dollar Salary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%Inc | Index ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \%Inc | Amount ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \%Inc | Index ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1970 | \$12,094 | 5.1 | 37.8 | 5.9 | \$32,029 | -0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | \$12,794 | 5.8 | 39.7 | 5.2 | \$32,203 | 0.5 | 100.5 |
| 1972 | \$12,801 | 0.1 | 41.2 | 3.6 | \$31,097 | -3.4 | 97.1 |
| 1973 | \$13,318 | 4.0 | 42.8 | 4.0 | \$31,117 | 0.1 | 97.2 |
| 1974 | \$14,018 | 5.3 | 46.6 | 9.0 | \$30,056 | -3.4 | 93.8 |
| 1975 | \$15,528 | 10.8 | 51.8 | 11.1 | \$29,968 | -0.3 | 93.6 |
| 1976 | \$16,956 | 9.2 | 55.5 | 7.1 | \$30,559 | 2.0 | 95.4 |
| 1977 | \$18,027 | 6.3 | 58.7 | 5.8 | \$30,715 | 0.5 | 95.9 |
| 1978 | \$19,008 | 5.4 | 62.6 | 6.7 | \$30,349 | -1.2 | 94.8 |
| 1979 | \$20,299 | 6.8 | 68.5 | 9.4 | \$29,630 | -2.4 | 92.5 |
| 1980 | \$21,641 | 6.6 | 77.7 | 13.4 | \$27,868 | -5.9 | 87.0 |
| 1981 | \$23,629 | 9.2 | 86.6 | 11.5 | \$27,284 | -2.1 | 85.2 |
| 1982 | \$25,164 | 6.5 | 94.1 | 8.7 | \$26,738 | -2.0 | 83.5 |
| 1983 | \$27,261 | 8.3 | 98.2 | 4.3 | \$27,764 | 3.8 | 86.7 |
| 1983 | \$27,971 | 2.6 | 101.8 | 3.7 | \$27,478 | -1.0 | 85.8 |
| 1985 | \$30,690 | 9.7 | 105.8 | 3.9 | \$29,017 | 5.6 | 90.6 |
| 1986 | \$32,074 | 4.5 | 108.8 | 2.9 | \$29,470 | 1.6 | 92.0 |
| 1987 | \$32,994 | 2.9 | 111.3 | 2.2 | \$29,651 | 0.6 | 92.6 |
| 1988 | \$32,818 | -0.5 | 115.8 | 4.1 | \$28,340 | -4.4 | 88.5 |
| 1989 | \$36,365 | 10.8 | 121.2 | 4.7 | \$30,004 | 5.9 | 93.7 |
| 1990 | \$39,135 | 7.6 | 127.0 | 4.8 | \$30,815 | 2.7 | 96.2 |
| 1991 | \$40,889 | 4.5 | 133.9 | 5.4 | \$30,537 | -0.9 | 95.3 |
| 1992 | \$41,515 | 1.5 | 138.3 | 3.3 | \$30,018 | -1.7 | 93.7 |
| 1993 | \$42,529 | 2.4 | 140.1 | 1.3 | \$30,356 | 1.1 | 94.8 |
| 1994 | \$43,989 | 3.4 | 143.7 | 2.6 | \$30,612 | 0.8 | 95.6 |
| 1995 | \$45,968 | 4.5 | 147.8 | 2.9 | \$31,101 | 1.6 | 97.1 |
| 1996 | \$47,645 | 3.6 | 151.8 | 2.7 | \$31,387 | 0.9 | 98.0 |
| 1997 | \$48,693 | 2.2 | 158.9 | 4.7 | \$30,644 | -2.4 | 95.7 |
| 1998 | \$50,042 | 2.8 | 161.7 | 1.8 | \$30,947 | 1.0 | 96.6 |
| 1999 | \$51,341 | 2.6 | 164.5 | 1.7 | \$31,210 | 0.8 | 97.4 |
| 2000 | \$53,586 | 4.4 | 169.3 | 2.9 | \$31,652 | 1.4 | 98.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | \$56,517 | 5.5 | 175.1 | 3.4 | \$32,277 | 2.0 | 100.8 |
| 2002 | \$58,894 | 4.2 | 178.2 | 1.8 | \$33,049 | 2.4 | 103.2 |
| 2003 | \$58,790 | -0.2 | 182.1 | 2.2 | \$32,285 | -2.3 | 100.8 |
| 2004 | \$61,710 | 5.0 | 186.1 | 2.2 | \$33,160 | 2.7 | 103.5 |
| 2005 | \$63,273 | 2.5 | 191.7 | 3.0 | \$33,006 | -0.5 | 103.1 |
| 2006 | \$65,441 | 3.4 | 199.0 | 3.8 | \$32,885 | -0.4 | 102.7 |
| 2007 | \$66,224 | 1.2 | 204.1 | 2.6 | \$32,447 | -1.3 | 101.3 |
| 2008 | \$69,297 | 4.6 | 211.2 | 3.5 | \$32,811 | 1.1 | 102.4 |
| 2009 | \$71,783 | 3.6 | 213.2 | 0.9 | \$33,669 | 2.6 | 105.1 |
| 2010 | \$72,072 | 0.4 | 216.3 | 1.5 | \$33,320 | -1.0 | 104.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | \$71,851 | -0.3 | 220.6 | 2.0 | \$32,566 | -2.3 | 101.7 |
| 2012 | \$73,810 | 2.7 | 227.1 | 2.9 | \$32,501 | -0.2 | 101.5 |
| 2013 | \$73,294 | -0.7 | 231.4 | 1.9 | \$31,681 | -2.5 | 98.9 |
| 2014 | \$74,756 | 2.0 | 235.0 | 1.6 | \$31,811 | 0.4 | 99.3 |
| 2015 | \$78,297 | 4.7 | 236.7 | 0.7 | \$33,082 | 4.0 | 103.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | \$79,580 | 1.6 | 238.3 | 1.4 | \$33,395 | 5.0 | 104.3 |
| 2017 | \$79,969 | 0.5 | 242.4 | 1.7 | \$32,991 | -1.2 | 103.0 |
| 2018 | \$83,736 | 4.7 | 241.1 | -0.5 | \$34,731 | 5.3 | 108.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This table provides a record of average salaries at K -State since 1969-70. It shows actual percentage increase in dollars, adjusts the salaries for inflation using the Consumer Price Index and indicates the purchasing power of the current salary when compared to the purchasing power in 1969-1970.

Average 9-month equivalent salary excluding fringe benefits.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fiscal (July 1 to June 30) average of monthly Consumer Price Index values reported in the CPI Detaile Report published by the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. Effective January, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics changed the base year to the average during 1982-1984.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Constant Dollar Salary = (Current Dollar Salary/Consumer Price Index) X 100.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Constant Dollar Faculty Salary relative to the Constant Dollar Faculty Salary in 1969-70.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ K-State salaries in this table include instructors
Source: Salary data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Approved by Faculty Senate February 14, 2006, the Professorial Performance Award rewards strong performance at the highest rank with a periodic base salary increase in addition to that provided for by the annual evaluation process.
    ${ }^{2}$ The program was implemented to provide increases to select faculty members' base pay as a merit driven proactive retention tool.

