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## Attachment 10

## History

This report was first compiled and written by the Faculty Affairs Subcommittee on Faculty Salaries in October of 1972. The report used only the salary and compensation data available from The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) Bulletin, 1971 and 1972. Through the years, the subcommittee and various task forces have reviewed the report, added new data, and made changes to the tables and comparison groups. For example, the Consumer Price Index (CPI) data was added in 1980, which explains the use of the 1969-1970 as the baseline for purchasing power comparisons. The Oklahoma State Salary Study, used for Table 1, was first published in 1974. The year K-State first submitted data to this study is not known, nor is the year the information was added to this salary report.

## FY 2013 Changes and Considerations

1. This year, after learning of some inconsistencies in the way the participating institutions report data for the instructor rank, it was decided to exclude instructors from the five years of data included in this year's report.
2. Data reported are from K-State's Human Resources Information System (HRIS) on November 1, 2012. The $1 \%$ raise given at mid-year is not reflected in this report. That increase along with other planned increases for faculty salaries will show up in future reports.
3. It is important to understand the differences in which faculty members are included in the Oklahoma State University Faculty Salary Study compared to those included in the AAUP data. Full explanations of these comparisons are included in the Explanation of Tables on page three.
4. In this report we compare average salaries at the university level. It is important to know that there is considerable variation between departments, with some closer to or exceeding peer averages. For a comparison at the disciplinary level among the 10 peer institutions, please refer to http://www.k-state.edu/2025/progress-reports/faculty-salary-data-analysis/.
5. It should be noted that the groups of aspirational peers, as well as Big 12 institutions have changed over the past few years.

## Executive Summary

## Comparisons with APLU Members Using Data from the Oklahoma State Salary Study:

- The average salary of K-State's faculty was $16.9 \%$ below other APLU institutions compared with 13.8\% in FY 2012.
- The salary deficit increased for all ranks.
- Average salaries for assistant professors declined.
- Average salary for professor and associate professor ranks increased.
- FY 2013 average salary fell $\$ 518$ below the FY 2012 average salary.


## Comparisons Using Data from AAUP:

- Comparisons with Land-grant Institutions (All 50 of the original 50 land-grant institutions reporting):
o K-State's salaries ranked $42^{\text {th }}$ (down from $41^{\text {st }}$ in FY 2012).
o K-State's total compensation ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ (down from $43^{\text {rd }}$ in FY 2012).
- Comparisons with Big Twelve Institutions:
o The average K-State faculty salary ranked last in the Big Twelve, a position held for all five of the years included in this report.
o The average K-State salary was 13.5 \% below the average of the Big Twelve universities (up from $10.9 \%$ in FY 2012), but it is important to consider the fact that the universities in the Big 12 Conference changed between these years.
- Comparisons with IPEDS Peer Institutions
o Compared to ten peer institutions, K-State's average salary ranked the lowest.
o K-State's average faculty salary has been last or next to last in this comparison group in the five years shown in this report.
o The average overall salary of K-State faculty was $10.4 \%$ below that of the peer institutions compared with $5.6 \%$ in FY 2012. It should be noted that the peer group has changed since the previous year with the addition of aspirational peers.
- Constant Dollars
o $2.5 \%$ decrease in purchasing power when compared to FY 1970 salaries.
o FY 2013 salaries declined by $0.7 \%$ from FY 2012.


## Explanation of Tables

## Comparisons with Association of Public and Land-grant Universities Using Data from the Oklahoma State Faculty Salary Study

Table 1 shows K-State faculty salaries compared to the average faculty salaries of member institutions of the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU). The data is compiled using the Oklahoma State University Salary Study, showing faculty salaries at participating universities as of November 1, 2012. Faculty members included in the comparison are those assigned to an academic department with an associated Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) code. Examples of the departments excluded would be Leadership Studies, American Ethnic Studies, K-State Libraries, and Extension.

Essentially, calculating average salary using the rank adjusted method provides an estimate of what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if the distribution of faculty by each rank were identical to that of K-State. This hypothetical average salary is calculated by multiplying the proportion of K-State’s faculty at a particular rank by the comparison school’s average salary at the same rank, and adding these values for all ranks. Although these hypothetical compositions of faculty do not actually exist, the rank adjusted average salary provides a valuable measure of comparison by leveling the distribution of faculty among comparison institutions.

It is important to mention that the rank adjusted average salary for comparison is consistently lower than the corresponding all ranks average. This is because K-State has fewer faculty members in the highest rank and more faculty members in the lower ranks when compared to the APLU institutions. The differences in faculty rank percentages at K-State and its comparison institutions are directly related to differences in salary structures.

## Comparisons Using Data from the American Association of University Professors

For Tables 2-4, the data were retrieved from The Annual Report on the Economic Status of the Profession, 2012-2013 from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2013, published by the American Association of University Professors (AAUP). Salary data was based on November 1, 2012 data from each participating institution. Only faculty who have $50 \%$ or more instructional appointments were included in this report. Faculty with $50 \%$ each to instructional and research are counted as instructional faculty, and are therefore included with this data. Examples of those excluded would be Extension faculty, research assistant and research associate professors, and library faculty, along with any other faculty who have $51 \%$ or more of their time allocated to research, extension, or a combination of the two.

## 50 Land-Grant Institutions

Since K-State is a land-grant institution, it is appropriate to compare faculty salaries to institutions with a similar mission and type of faculty (instruction, research, and service). Each state has a university that was formed under the Morrill Act and receives federal and state funds for research and cooperative extension. Table 2 provides a list of 49 of the 50 land grant institutions who submitted data to AAUP in FY 2012.

## Big Twelve Universities

Because K-State is a member of the Big 12 Athletic Conference, it is appropriate to compare K-State to other conference members. This is shown in Table 3.

## Peer Institutions

The Kansas Board of Regents has designated five universities, selected because of similarities in size, programs, and mission, as K-State peer institutions -- Colorado State, Iowa State, North Carolina State, Oklahoma State, and Oregon State. In the summer of 2012, five more institutions -- Auburn, Clemson, Louisiana State, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, and Washington State -- were added as peers for the purpose of comparison. K-State salaries compared with the salaries of these ten universities are found in Table 4.

## Constant Dollars

Table 5 is included to show K-State salaries in terms of constant dollars over the past 43 years. The constant dollar value is generated using the fiscal average of the monthly Consumer Price Index (CPI). The Current Dollar is the average K-State salary, and the Constant Dollar Salary shows the purchasing power of the current salary compared to the Constant Dollar Salary in 1969-1970.

Table 1

## Faculty Salaries at KSU Compared to APLU Institutions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Funding Unit |  | Professor |  | Associate |  | Assistant |  | All Ranks Average $\$^{\text {b }}$ | APLURank AdjustedAvg. Salary | Rank Adjusted \%dftt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%dfct ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%dfct ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%dfct ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| All University (Including Veterinary Medicine) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | KSU | \$98,517 | 16.6\% | \$73,730 | 8.6\% | \$61,505 | 13.9\% | \$79,685 | \$90,645 | 13.8 |
|  | Other | \$114,915 |  | \$80,062 |  | \$70,031 |  | \$92,600 |  |  |
| 2009-10 | KSU | \$99,858 | 16.7\% | \$72,693 | 11.1\% | \$61,421 | 15.7\% | \$80,350 | \$92,354 | 14.9 |
|  | Other | \$116,553 |  | \$80,738 |  | \$71,060 |  | \$93,984 |  |  |
| 2010-11 | KSU | 100,125 | 16.6\% | 72,115 | 12.0\% | 61,210 | 18.1\% | 80,055 | \$92,557 | 15.6 |
|  | Other | 116,736 |  | 80,786 |  | 72,317 |  | 94,574 |  |  |
| 2011-12 | KSU | 104,383 | 14.5\% | 73,669 | 11.7\% | 64,421 | 14.6\% | 82,751 | \$94,138 | 13.8 |
|  | Other | 119,476 |  | 82,450 |  | 73,858 |  | 96,615 |  |  |
| 2012-13 | KSU | 104,610 | 17.9\% | 74,592 | 13.3\% | 63,706 | 19.1\% | 82,233 | \$96,112 | 16.9 |
|  | Other | 123,346 |  | 84,483 |  | 75,866 |  | 99,239 |  |  |

a This table contains a comparison of KSU faculty salaries with salaries at other members of the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU) by rank, all ranks, and rank-by-rank. Beginning with 1993-94, the data includes College of Salina, in addition to KSU Main Campus and the College of Veterinary Medicine.
b Nine-month salaries are reported. "Other" refers to the average at the APLU member institutions.
c Refers to the percent that KSU salaries are below the average of APLU institutions. Beginning in 1999-00, deficits were re-calculated using a methodology in compliance with the Kansas Board of Regents.

Source: 2012-2013 Faculty Salary Survey by Discipline. Office of Institutional Research, Oklahoma State University.
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Table 2
Average Salary and Compensation Comparisons Fifty Land Grant Institutions 2012-2013

| Rank | University ${ }^{1}$ | Salary | \% Diff ${ }^{2}$ | Rank | Compensation | \%Diff ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 CORNELL - NY | \$133,582 | 40.7\% | 1 | \$169,062 | 36.3\% |
|  | 2 RUTGERS-NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ | \$122,018 | 28.5\% | 2 | \$161,545 | 30.3\% |
|  | 3 CALIFORNIA - DAVIS | \$116,181 | 22.4\% | 3 | \$158,505 | 27.8\% |
|  | 4 DELAWARE | \$113,584 | 19.6\% | 5 | \$146,403 | 18.0\% |
|  | 5 PENN STATE | \$113,518 | 19.6\% | 7 | \$143,612 | 15.8\% |
|  | 6 MARYLAND-COLLEGE PARK | \$113,372 | 19.4\% | 9 | \$141,565 | 14.1\% |
|  | 7 ILLINOIS-URBANA | \$113,115 | 19.1\% | 4 | \$146,544 | 18.2\% |
|  | 8 OHIO STATE-COLUMBUS | \$110,335 | 16.2\% | 10 | \$138,158 | 11.4\% |
|  | 9 MINNESOTA-TWIN CITIES | \$107,408 | 13.1\% | 6 | \$146,352 | 18.0\% |
| 10 | 0 MASSACHUSETTS-AMHERST | \$106,792 | 12.5\% | 8 | \$143,571 | 15.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 PURDUE-WEST LAFAYETTE, IN | \$104,200 | 9.7\% | 14 | \$133,152 | 7.4\% |
|  | 2 CONNECTICUT-STORRS | \$103,757 | 9.3\% | 13 | \$134,093 | 8.1\% |
|  | 3 WISCONSIN-MADISON | \$102,787 | 8.3\% | 16 | \$129,623 | 4.5\% |
|  | 4 MICHIGAN STATE | \$101,549 | 7.0\% | 12 | \$135,322 | 9.1\% |
| 15 | 5 TEXAS A\&M | \$100,023 | 5.3\% | 29 | \$118,571 | -4.4\% |
| 16 | 6 CLEMSON - SC | \$99,959 | 5.3\% | 15 | \$129,639 | 4.5\% |
| 17 | 7 NC STATE-RALEIGH | \$99,805 | 5.1\% | 21 | \$126,595 | 2.1\% |
| 18 | 8 NEW HAMPSHIRE | \$99,592 | 4.9\% | 11 | \$135,961 | 9.6\% |
| 19 | 9 TENNESSEE-KNOXVILLE | \$98,951 | 4.2\% | 19 | \$126,640 | 2.1\% |
| 20 | 0 IOWA STATE | \$97,792 | 3.0\% | 17 | \$127,967 | 3.2\% |
|  | 1 ARIZONA | \$97,639 | 2.8\% | 20 | \$126,595 | 2.1\% |
|  | 2 VIRGINIA TECH | \$96,076 | 1.2\% | 24 | \$122,505 | -1.2\% |
|  | 3 HAWAII-MANOA | \$95,889 | 1.0\% | 18 | \$127,370 | 2.7\% |
|  | 4 NEBRASKA-LINCOLN | \$95,142 | 0.2\% | 26 | \$121,965 | -1.7\% |
|  | 5 FLORIDA-GAINESVILLE | \$95,085 | 0.1\% | 23 | \$122,853 | -0.9\% |
|  | 6 VERMONT | \$93,792 | -1.2\% | 25 | \$122,012 | -1.6\% |
|  | 7 COLORADO STATE | \$93,694 | -1.3\% | 31 | \$116,268 | -6.3\% |
| 28 | 8 ARKANSAS-FAYETTEVILLE | \$93,262 | -1.8\% | 32 | \$116,041 | -6.4\% |
| 29 | 9 NEVADA-RENO | \$92,693 | -2.4\% | 33 | \$115,497 | -6.9\% |
| 30 | 0 GEORGIA | \$92,344 | -2.7\% | 30 | \$116,471 | -6.1\% |
|  | 1 RHODE ISLAND | \$89,356 | -5.9\% | 22 | \$124,546 | 0.4\% |
|  | 2 LOUISIANA STATE UNIV A \& M | \$88,708 | -6.6\% | 28 | \$118,749 | -4.3\% |
|  | 3 AUBURN - AL | \$88,476 | -6.8\% | 35 | \$110,759 | -10.7\% |
| 34 | 4 MISSOURI-COLUMBIA | \$86,284 | -9.1\% | 37 | \$109,470 | -11.7\% |
| 35 | 5 OREGON STATE | \$85,485 | -10.0\% | 27 | \$120,686 | -2.7\% |
| 36 | 6 WASHINGTON STATE | \$85,300 | -10.2\% | 38 | \$109,022 | -12.1\% |
|  | 7 WYOMING | \$84,873 | -10.6\% | 34 | \$115,024 | -7.3\% |
|  | 8 WEST VIRGINIA | \$84,513 | -11.0\% | 41 | \$102,876 | -17.1\% |
| 39 | 9 OKLAHOMA STATE | \$82,885 | -12.7\% | 36 | \$110,240 | -11.1\% |
| 40 | 0 MAINE-ORONO | \$81,773 | -13.9\% | 49 | \$0 | N/A |
|  | 1 NORTH DAKOTA STATE | \$81,240 | -14.4\% | 40 | \$105,471 | -15.0\% |
|  | 2 KANSAS STATE | \$80,247 | -15.5\% | 44 | \$99,493 | -19.8\% |
|  | 3 ALASKA-FAIRBANKS | \$78,694 | -17.1\% | 43 | \$99,951 | -19.4\% |
| 44 | 4 MISSISSIPPI STATE | \$78,111 | -17.7\% | 48 | \$89,272 | -28.0\% |
|  | 5 UTAH STATE | \$77,046 | -18.9\% | 39 | \$106,741 | -13.9\% |
|  | 6 IDAHO-MOSCOW | \$75,999 | -20.0\% | 42 | \$100,318 | -19.1\% |
|  | 7 MONTANA STATE | \$74,218 | -21.8\% | 45 | \$93,658 | -24.5\% |
|  | 8 SOUTH DAKOTA STATE | \$73,043 | -23.1\% | 47 | \$89,550 | -27.8\% |
|  | 9 NM STATE-LAS CRUCES | \$72,328 | -23.8\% | 46 | \$91,024 | -26.6\% |
|  | Average | \$94,949 |  |  | \$124,025 |  |

[^0]
## Table 3

## All Ranks Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$

Rank Adjusted ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average Salary

| Year | KSU Salary | Big 12 Institutions Salary | KSU Rank <br> Out of $10{ }^{\text {d }}$ | KSU Increment Needed to Tie Highest Salary | Big 12 Institutions Salary | Increment <br> Needed to <br> Reach Average <br> Rank Adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008-09 | \$77,355 | \$89,501 | 12 | 39.8\% | \$87,097 | 12.6\% |
| 2009-10 | \$78,478 | \$88,631 | 12 | 37.9\% | \$88,095 | 12.3\% |
| 2010-11 | \$77,986 | \$90,782 | 12 | 42.8\% | \$88,669 | 13.7\% |
| 2011-12 | \$80,377 | \$93,606 | 10 | 42.8\% | \$89,164 | 10.9\% |
| 2012-13 | \$80,247 | \$90,782 | 10 | 46.7\% | \$91,059 | 13.5\% |
| Institution |  | 2008-09 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| University of Texas |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Iowa State University |  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Baylor University |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Texas Christian University |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| University of Kansas |  | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| University of Oklahoma |  | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 6 |
| Texas Tech University |  | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| West Virginia University |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Oklahoma State |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Kansas State University |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This Table provides a comparison of average faculty salaries at KSU and the other Big Twelve schools. From FY 2009-FY2010, these included: Baylor, Iowa State, Oklahoma State, Texas Tech, University of Kansas, University of Oklahoma, University of Texas, Texas A\&M, University of Nebraska, University of Colorado, and University of Missouri. In FY 2011, University of Nebraska and University of Colorado were no longer included. University of Missouri and Texas A\&M left the following year (FY 2012), and West Viriginia University and Texas Christian were added. This group remained unchange for FY 2013.

Comparisons are made between the Big Twelve composite and KSU salaries based on average salary and rank by rank average salary (Board of Regents method of calculating average salary for comparison).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average Salary excluding fringe benefits.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Rank Adjusted Average Salary is calculated by multiplying the overall average of Big Twelve salaries by rank times the distribution of KSU faculty by rank; these val estimate what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if their distribution by professorial rank were identical to KSU.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Rank is based on the composition of the Big 12 in each year.
Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2013.

Table 4

## Faculty Salaries at KSU Compared to IPEDS Peer Institutions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

All Ranks Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$
Rank Adjusted ${ }^{\text {c Average Salary }}$
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { Year } & \text { KSU Salary } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Comparison } \\ \text { Institutions } \\ \text { Salary }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { KSU Rank } \\ \text { Out of 11 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { KSU Increment } \\ \text { Needed to Tie } \\ \text { Highest Salary }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Increment } \\ \text { Needed to Reach } \\ \text { Institutions } \\ \text { Salary }\end{array} \\ \hline \hline \text { Average Rank } \\ \text { Adjusted Salary }\end{array}\right\}$

| Massachusetts-Amherst |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clemson University |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |
| North Carolina State University | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Iowa State University | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Colorado State University | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Louisiana A\&M University |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Auburn University |  |  |  | 5 | 7 |
| Oregon State University | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Washington State University |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Oklahoma State University | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| Kansas State University | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 11 |

[^1]
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Table 5
Average Faculty Salaries at KSU in Constant Dollars ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Year | Current Dollar |  | Consumer Price |  | Constant Dollar Salary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%Inc | Index ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \%Inc | Amount ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \%Inc | Index ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1969-70 | \$12,094 | 5.1 | 37.8 | 5.9 | \$32,029 | -0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1970-71 | \$12,794 | 5.8 | 39.7 | 5.2 | \$32,203 | 0.5 | 100.5 |
| 1971-72 | \$12,801 | 0.1 | 41.2 | 3.6 | \$31,097 | -3.4 | 97.1 |
| 1972-73 | \$13,318 | 4.0 | 42.8 | 4.0 | \$31,117 | 0.1 | 97.2 |
| 1973-74 | \$14,018 | 5.3 | 46.6 | 9.0 | \$30,056 | -3.4 | 93.8 |
| 1974-75 | \$15,528 | 10.8 | 51.8 | 11.1 | \$29,968 | -0.3 | 93.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975-76 | \$16,956 | 9.2 | 55.5 | 7.1 | \$30,559 | 2.0 | 95.4 |
| 1976-77 | \$18,027 | 6.3 | 58.7 | 5.8 | \$30,715 | 0.5 | 95.9 |
| 1977-78 | \$19,008 | 5.4 | 62.6 | 6.7 | \$30,349 | -1.2 | 94.8 |
| 1978-79 | \$20,299 | 6.8 | 68.5 | 9.4 | \$29,630 | -2.4 | 92.5 |
| 1979-80 | \$21,641 | 6.6 | 77.7 | 13.4 | \$27,868 | -5.9 | 87.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980-81 | \$23,629 | 9.2 | 86.6 | 11.5 | \$27,284 | -2.1 | 85.2 |
| 1981-82 | \$25,164 | 6.5 | 94.1 | 8.7 | \$26,738 | -2.0 | 83.5 |
| 1982-83 | \$27,261 | 8.3 | 98.2 | 4.3 | \$27,764 | 3.8 | 86.7 |
| 1983-84 | \$27,971 | 2.6 | 101.8 | 3.7 | \$27,478 | -1.0 | 85.8 |
| 1984-85 | \$30,690 | 9.7 | 105.8 | 3.9 | \$29,017 | 5.6 | 90.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-86 | \$32,074 | 4.5 | 108.8 | 2.9 | \$29,470 | 1.6 | 92.0 |
| 1986-87 | \$32,994 | 2.9 | 111.3 | 2.2 | \$29,651 | 0.6 | 92.6 |
| 1987-88 | \$32,818 | -0.5 | 115.8 | 4.1 | \$28,340 | -4.4 | 88.5 |
| 1988-89 | \$36,365 | 10.8 | 121.2 | 4.7 | \$30,004 | 5.9 | 93.7 |
| 1989-90 | \$39,135 | 7.6 | 127.0 | 4.8 | \$30,815 | 2.7 | 96.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990-91 | \$40,889 | 4.5 | 133.9 | 5.4 | \$30,537 | -0.9 | 95.3 |
| 1991-92 | \$41,515 | 1.5 | 138.3 | 3.3 | \$30,018 | -1.7 | 93.7 |
| 1992-93 | \$42,529 | 2.4 | 140.1 | 1.3 | \$30,356 | 1.1 | 94.8 |
| 1993-94 | \$43,989 | 3.4 | 143.7 | 2.6 | \$30,612 | 0.8 | 95.6 |
| 1994-95 | \$45,968 | 4.5 | 147.8 | 2.9 | \$31,101 | 1.6 | 97.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995-96 | \$47,645 | 3.6 | 151.8 | 2.7 | \$31,387 | 0.9 | 98.0 |
| 1996-97 | \$48,693 | 2.2 | 158.9 | 4.7 | \$30,644 | -2.4 | 95.7 |
| 1997-98 | \$50,042 | 2.8 | 161.7 | 1.8 | \$30,947 | 1.0 | 96.6 |
| 1998-99 | \$51,341 | 2.6 | 164.5 | 1.7 | \$31,210 | 0.8 | 97.4 |
| 1999-00 | \$53,586 | 4.4 | 169.3 | 2.9 | \$31,652 | 1.4 | 98.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000-01 | \$56,517 | 5.5 | 175.1 | 3.4 | \$32,277 | 2.0 | 100.8 |
| 2001-02 | \$58,894 | 4.2 | 178.2 | 1.8 | \$33,049 | 2.4 | 103.2 |
| 2002-03 | \$58,790 | -0.2 | 182.1 | 2.2 | \$32,285 | -2.3 | 100.8 |
| 2003-04 | \$61,710 | 5.0 | 186.1 | 2.2 | \$33,160 | 2.7 | 103.5 |
| 2004-05 | \$63,273 | 2.5 | 191.7 | 3.0 | \$33,006 | -0.5 | 103.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | \$65,441 | 3.4 | 199.0 | 3.8 | \$32,885 | -0.4 | 102.7 |
| 2006-07 | \$66,224 | 1.2 | 204.1 | 2.6 | \$32,447 | -1.3 | 101.3 |
| 2007-08 | \$69,297 | 4.6 | 211.2 | 3.5 | \$32,811 | 1.1 | 102.4 |
| 2008-09 | \$71,783 | 3.6 | 213.2 | 0.9 | \$33,669 | 2.6 | 105.1 |
| 2009-10 | \$72,072 | 0.4 | 216.3 | 1.5 | \$33,320 | -1.0 | 104.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | \$71,851 | -0.3 | 220.6 | 2.0 | \$32,566 | -2.3 | 101.7 |
| 2011-12 | \$73,810 | 2.7 | 227.1 | 2.9 | \$32,501 | -0.2 | 101.5 |
| 2012-13 | \$73,294 | -0.7 | 231.4 | 1.9 | \$31,681 | -2.5 | 98.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This table provides a record of average salaries at KSU since 1969-70. It shows actual percentage increase in dollars, adjusts the the salaries for inflation using the Consumer Price Index and indicates the purchasing power of the current salary when compared to the purchasing power in 1969-1970.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average 9 -month equivalent salary excluding fringe benefits.
${ }^{\text {c Fiscal }}$ (July 1 to June 30) average of monthly Consumer Price Index values reported in the CPI Detailed Report published by the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. Effective January, 1989, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics changed the base year to the average during 1982-1984.
${ }^{d}$ Constant Dollar Series $=($ Current Dollar Salary/Consumer Price Index $) X 100$.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Constant Dollar Faculty Salary relative to the Constant Dollar Faculty Salary in 1969-70.
Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2013.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Big Twelve and Peer institutions are shaded (Note, some Big 12 institutions are not land grant institutions).
    ${ }^{2}$ Percent difference from the average salary (in the third column) and average compensation (in sixth column) of these 50 institutions.
    ${ }^{3}$ Kentucky did not report this year.
    ${ }^{4}$ Maine did not report compensation this year.
    Source: Data taken from Academe Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, March-April 2013.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This table provides a comparison of average faculty salaries at KSU and its peer institutions. Comparisons are made
    between the composite and KSU salaries based on average salary and rank by rank average salary (KBOR method of calculating averages for comparison).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average salary excluding fringe benefits.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Rank Adjusted Average Salary is calculated by multiplying the overall average of peer salaries by rank times the distribution of KSU faculty by rank; these values estimate what the comparison institutions' average salaries would be if their distribution by professorial rank were identical to KSU.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Auburn and Clemson were added to the comparison group in FY 2012, Massachusetts, Washington State, and Lousiana State, were added in FY 2013.

