

1. What are your Department's mission and vision and how does your organization contribute to achieving the University's and your College's/Major Unit's vision for K-State 2025?

Mission: The Philosophy Department's mission includes three core areas: 1) publishing peer reviewed research, 2) teaching (minors and majors) students the analytic, formal and exegetical skills necessary to engage philosophical issues of import in both philosophy and related areas in which ethical policy choice occurs, e.g. law, ministry, business, and science, and 3) serving non-major students by introducing them to essential philosophical ideas while developing such skills as critical reasoning, reading, and analytical writing. The Department thereby contributes directly to Kansas State University's responsibility for developing human potential, expanding knowledge, enriching cultural expression, and its mission to provide all students with opportunities to develop the knowledge, understanding, and skills characteristic of an educated person, preparing its students to be informed, productive and responsible citizens.

Vision: We intend over the next 12 years expand our program, increasing research productivity, external awards, and the numbers of majors and nonmajor students served, and to develop a master's program. Collectively, these activities will enhance our ability to serve the College of Arts and Sciences' core missions of providing high quality liberal arts education for all K-State students, promoting high-quality graduate education and scholarly research, maintaining the highest quality undergraduate majors, and serving not only the discipline of philosophy, but our state and nation by training students to rigorously integrate both values and consequences in policy choice.

2. What are your Department's key strategic activities and outcomes?

Key Activities	Short Term (2013 - 2015) Key Outcomes	Intermediate (2016 - 2020) <i>Key Outcomes</i>	Long Term (2021 - 2025) <i>Key Outcomes</i>
What we plan to do	What we expect to happen	What we expect to happen	What we expect to happen
1. Increase number of majors to 80 to 100.	A: Use limited number of large sections for next 3 semesters to reduce backlog of Junior and Senior students in need of philosophy section. [IA]		
	B: Increased use of First Year Seminars to 3. [IA]		
	C: More active outreach with JCCC and other 2-year institutions. [IA]		
	D: Numbers of Ju and Sr students in need should fall below 650 and 700 respectively by end of this period. [IA]	D: Number of Ju and Sr CAS students in need of philosophy should be below 650 and 550 at the end of this period. [IA]	

3. Identify [in brackets] which of your Department's strategic outcomes are directly linked to your College's/Major Unit's outcomes. (If your Department or similar unit is not in a College or Major Unit, skip this question.)

		E: Increased use of Freshmen and	
		Sophmore only sections to 4+ in fall	
		and 6+ in spring semesters. [1A]	
		F: Reduction of section size to 35	
		students by end of period. [IA]	
		contraction by contract postcon [111]	
		G: Expansion of 300 level offerings	
		outside the major (3 per academic	
		year). Where possible, these should	
		be interdisciplinary. [IA]	
		H: Major should have ~60 students	
		by end of this period. [IA]	
			I: Number of majors should be
			above 80 the end of this period. [IA]
2. Obtain 4 new tenure-track lines and 1		A: Increase tenure lines by 2, to 14.	A: Increase tenure lines by 2 more,
standing 3 year post-doctoral		One hire in area of applied	to 16. One hire in applied
appointment, for a total departmental size of 17.		ethics/poltical philosophy, one hire	ethics/political philosophy, one hire
01 17.		in applied formal/empirical philosophy. [IA, IIA, IIIB, IIID, IVA]	in applied formal philosophy. [IA,IIA,IIIB,IIID,IVA]
			[וכ,ווכ,ווט,ווט,ויז כ]
			B: Resource a post-doctoral, 3 year
			contract appointment, either by
			grants or endowment. [IA, IVA]
3. Increase real faculty salaries by 20%.		A: Obtain 2 endowed	A: Obtain 2 further endowed
A language and along bin stallars		professorships. [IA, IIIB, IIID, IVA, VA]	
4. Increase scholarship dollars.		A: Obtain resources to award	A: Obtain resources to award
5. Fund internships in policy contexts.		\$10,000 per year in scholarships. [IA] A: Secure funding for 2 internships	\$15,000 per year in scholarship. [IA] A: Secure funding for 2 more
of Fund Internation points contextor		at \$8,000 each, at least one in	internships, at \$8,000 each, at least
		international context. [IA, IIID]	one in international context. [IA, IIID]
6. Increase student research	A: Identify students with potential		
participation.	for graudate school earlier in career,		
	and begin working with students on		
	publishable/presentable research		
	earlier in undergraduate careers. [IA,		
	IIID]		
	B: Develop research programs	B: Continue development of new	
	suitable for undergraduate	research programs with new hires.	
	participation (simulation using agent	[IA, IIID]	
	based models, x-phi, moral		
	psychology). [IA, IIID]		

		 C: Have at least 1 student paper per year, on average, accepted for presentation/publication in peer reviewed journals. [IA, IIID] D: Include undergraduate student support in grant applications. [IA, IIID] E: Develop working computer lab for students, for use in causation, game theoy and decision theory courses; student simulations and data analysis. [IA, IIID, VIE] 	C: Have at least 2 student papers per year, on average, accepted for presentation/publication in peer reviewed journals. [IA, IIID] D: Include both undergraduate and graduate student support in grant applications. [IA, IIID]
7. Increase number/dollar amounts of external awards.	A: Begin seeking partnerships with other departments with experience in securing grants, exploring projects in which philosophy can be applied to real world problems. [IA, IIIB, IIID]		
		B: Submit 7 grant applications per year, on average. [IA, IIIB, IIID]	B: Submit at least 14 applications per year. [IA, IIIB, IIID]
		C: About 1/2 of faculty should have secured or be sactively seeking external money by the end of this period [IA, IIIB, IIID]	C: About ¾ of faculty should have secured or be actively seeking external support by the end of this period [IA, IIIB, IIID]
		D: At least 1 successful award per year, for at least \$100,000, by the end of this period. [IA, IIIB, IIID]	D: At least 3 successful awards per year, for at least \$300,000 in funds. [IA, IIIB, IIID]
8. Develop Master's Program	A: By end of period fully developed plan for the program should be in place, with provisional agreement from the Dean of A&S [IA, IIIB, IIID, IVA]	A: Seek approval for program at University and Regents level, subsequent to or contemporaneously with expanded hires. [IA, IIIB, IIID, IVA]	
			B: Begin excepting students for Master's study in first part of period; graduate first students in second part of this period. [IA, IVA]

4a. What resources and/or opportunities exist for your Department to achieve its vision and outcomes?

We currently have 12 of the needed 16 tenure lines; we anticipate the retirement over the 2025 period of at least 3 faculty, freeing those lines for new hires. Departmental history and the current economy make unusually good junior hires possible. The current importance of research topics of interest to department (emergining democracies and Islam, science policy and science advocacy among others) suggests long-term support for research agendas are possible.

4b. What resources and/or opportunities are needed for your Department to achieve its vision and outcomes?

We will need 4 new tenure track lines, one post-doctoral line; alumni support for scholarship and intership funding; support for increased falculty salaries by ~20% in real terms, either through endowments or otherwise.

- 5. How do you propose to acquire the resources needed for your Department to accomplish its vision and outcomes? While maintaining a diverse faculty, we will reorient research agendas in the department, with a focus on grantable research. We will seek also support from Alumni and other donors.
- 6. How does your plan link to the K-State 2025 University Benchmark Metrics, Common Elements, and Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics? (See below)

6. Departmental Links to K-State 2025 University Benchmark Metrics, Common Elements, and Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics

Links to Benchmark Metrics	Links to Common Elements
 B-1 - Total research and development expenditures B-2 - Endowment pool B-4 - Number of faculty awards B-7 - Six-year graduation rate B-8 - Percent of undergraduate students involved in research 	CE-2 - Culture CE-3 - Diversity CE-5 - Funding CE-6 - International

Links to University Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics			
Links to 2025 Thematic Goals and Metrics	Links to Short Term Outcomes (2011 – 2015)	Links to Intermediate Outcomes (2016 – 2020)	Links to Long Term Outcomes (2021 – 2025)
 T1 - Research, Scholarly and Creative Activities, and Discovery (RSCAD) Theme 1 Metrics: T1-1 - # of interdisciplinary research projects, institutes, and centers T1-2 - Total sponsored extramural funding expenditures T1-4 - # of refereed scholarly publications per academic year and allocated faculty member T1-5 - Total international research and development expenditures 	 T1-A - Increased intellectual and financial capital to support RSCAD T1-C - Increased funding for investigator-based research, research centers, and graduate training grants T1-F - Enhanced and systematic approach for UG research T1-G - Successful recruitment, retention, evaluation, compensation, and rewards strategies in place to support RSCAD needs T1-H - Enhanced visibility and appreciation for research, discovery, and scholarly and creative activities 	 T1-I - Intellectual and financial capital in place for expanded RSCAD efforts T1-J - Greater proportion of nationally and internationally recognized award- winning faculty in RSCAD programs T1-L - Recognized for prominent and productive placement of our graduates T1-M - Increased participation by undergraduates in expanded opportunities in research 	 T1-N - Fifty nationally recognized K-State researchers, a high proportion of which are members of their national academies T1-O - Extramural funding competitive with our benchmark institutions T1-P - Research and development expenditures competitive with benchmark institutions T1-Q - Competitive amongst our peers in the percentage of undergraduates involved in research

Links to University Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics			
Links to 2025 Thematic Goals and Metrics	Links to Short Term Outcomes (2011 – 2015)	Links to Intermediate Outcomes (2016 – 2020)	Links to Long Term Outcomes (2021 – 2025)
 T2 - Undergraduate Educational Experience (UEE) Theme 2 Metrics: T2-1 - # and % of undergraduate students participating in a meaningful international experience T2-2 - # and % of undergraduate students completing an experiential learning experience T2-3 - Total funding awarded for undergraduate scholarship support 	 T2-B - Engaged students benefitting from high impact educational practices used by excellent faculty and staff across the university T2-C - Increased participation by undergraduates in expanded opportunities for meaningful research T2-D - Successful integration of undergraduate education and meaningful research is standard practice T2-H - Improved six-year graduation rates and retention ratios 	 T2-J - Excellent reputation for high quality teaching and advising that prepares students for their professional, community, social, and personal lives T2-K - Superior and diverse faculty recognized for teaching excellence T2-L - All UG students engaged in a diversity of experiences that expand their viewpoint T2-M - Increased undergraduate contributions in the creation of scholarship through research T2-N - Ongoing improvement of six-year graduation rates and retention ratios 	 T2-O - An undergraduate educational experience recognized as one of the best among the nation's Top 50 Public Research Universities T2-P - Faculty teaching and advising awards comparable to our benchmark institutions T2-R - Six-Year graduation rates comparable to benchmark institutions
T3 - Graduate Scholarly Experience Theme 3 Metrics: T3-2 - Total funds awarded for graduate assistantships, endowed scholarships, and fellowships T3-3 - # and % of graduate programs offering competitive compensation and support packages		 T3-I - Increased participation by our graduate students in unique high level learning and experiential training T3-J - Expanded reputation for outstanding graduates with the critical skill sets needed to excel in their careers in a global environment T3-K - Increased funding for graduate research and teaching 	 T3-N - National and international reputation for outstanding graduates with demonstrable career success T3-O - World-class reputation as a preferred destination for outstanding graduate students T3-P - Stable funding for graduate research and teaching competitive with benchmark institutions

Links to University Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics			
Links to 2025 Thematic Goals and Metrics	Links to Short Term Outcomes (2011 – 2015)	Links to Intermediate Outcomes (2016 – 2020)	Links to Long Term Outcomes (2021 – 2025)
 T3-5 - # of graduate students participating in a unique high level learning and experiential training T3-6 - # of graduate terminal degrees awarded T3-7 - Total graduate students enrolled by demographic group and degree type T3-8 - Graduate student satisfaction and utilization rates 		T3-L - Increased number of nationally and internationally recognized award- winning graduate faculty	
 T4 - Engagement, Extension, Outreach and Service Theme 4 Metrics: T4-1 - # and % of undergraduate students participating in engagement/service learning T4-2 - Total extramural-funded expenditures for Engagement initiatives at the local, state, national, and international level T4-3 - # of partnerships by sector and geographic boundary supporting collaborative research, education, and engagement 	 T4-A - Enhanced integration between academics and student service learning T4-B - Increased participation by undergraduates in expanded opportunities for meaningful Engagement experiences T4-C - Increased recognition of our services as a source of expertise, information, and tools for disciplines worldwide T4-D - Increased numbers and diversity of faculty and staff participating in Engagement T4-E - Increased extramural funding for Engagement initiatives at the 	 T4-H - Exposure on a national level as a leader/partner engaged in significant social, political, health, economic and, environmental issues T4-J - Increased number of graduate students involved in Engagement T4-M - Preferred destination for faculty, staff, and students who value Engagement as integral to their academic and personal lives 	 T4-N - Nationally recognized as a leader in and model for a re-invented and transformed land -grant university integrating research, education, and engagement T4-O - Nationally and internationally recognized as leaders in Engagement on a global scale T4-P - Recognized as a leader in Engagement reaching both rural and urban communities

Links to University Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics			
Links to 2025 Thematic Goals and Metrics	Links to Short Term Outcomes (2011 – 2015)	Links to Intermediate Outcomes (2016 – 2020)	Links to Long Term Outcomes (2021 – 2025)
geographic boundaries T4-5 - # of participants involved in community-based research and outreach projects	T4-G - Enhanced visibility and appreciation for Engagement and its interconnectedness with research and education within our university community		
T5 - Faculty and Staff Theme 5 Metrics:	T5-A - Total compensation competitive with aspirant university and regional employers for faculty and staff in high priority areas	T5-E - Total compensation competitive with aspirant university and regional employers for all employees	T5-H - Talented and high performing, diverse workforce recognized for excellence and award-winning faculty and researchers
 T5-1 - # of national and international faculty awards T5-2 - # and % of faculty with endowed chairs, professorships, and fellowships T5-3 - Competitive compensation packages for faculty and staff 	T5-D - Effective evaluation processes that result in accountable faculty and staff with a clear understanding of their job expectations and how they contribute to the University's mission	 T5-F - Faculty and staff current with developments in their fields and the skills needed to achieve excellence in performing their jobs T5-G - Successful recruitment and retention of a talented and high performing, diverse workforce 	 T5-I - Stable funding available for recruitment and retention of top level faculty and staff T5-J - Optimal number of faculty and staff comparable with our benchmark institutions
 T5-4 - # and % of faculty and staff participating in international experiences T5-5 - % of tenure/tenure-track faculty by demographic group 			

Links to University Thematic Goals, Outcomes, and Metrics			
Links to 2025	Links to Short Term Outcomes	Links to Intermediate Outcomes	Links to Long Term Outcomes
Thematic Goals and Metrics	(2011 – 2015)	(2016 – 2020)	(2021 – 2025)
T6 - Facilities and Infrastructure Theme 6 Metrics: T6-1 - # and % of technology enabled classrooms	T6-C - Robust and reliable information technology ensuring business continuity and consistent with the achievement of the highest quality levels of support for research, instruction, student services, and administration		T6-G - High quality, technology enabled, flexible and adaptable classroom space appropriate to the evolving needs of the learning environment and readily available to K-State faculty and students